

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA BEAUFORT DIVISION

ROY L. SMITH,	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 9:06-1523-HFF-GCK
	§
WARDEN WILLIE EAGLETON et al,	§
	§
Defendants.	§

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND DISMISSING CASE

This is a civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge recommending that the Court dismiss the complaint *without prejudice* and without service of process. The Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Matthews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on June 2, 2006, and Plaintiff failed to file any objections to the Report.* In the absence of such objections, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standards set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of this Court that the complaint should be, and hereby is, **DISMISSED**, *without prejudice*.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 27th day of June, 2006, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd HENRY F. FLOYD UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this Order within **thirty (30)** days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

^{*} Plaintiff did, however, send a letter to the Clerk's office in which he asks why this case is being managed in the Charleston Clerk's office. Plaintiff's attention is directed to Local Civil Rule 73.02(C)(3), which requires that "civil rights cases [filed under § 1983] shall be assigned to full-time Magistrate Judges on a rotational basis without regard to division of filing."